Exterior Space Model for an Acoustic Eigenvalue Problem

Antti Ojalammi, Antti Hannukainen, Jarmo Malinen

Aalto University School of Science Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis

http://speech.math.aalto.fi

Seminar on Applied Mathematics, 16.10.2014

Introduction Motivation

- Long term goal: Modelling human speech production by combining FEM and MRI from the Vocal Tract (VT).
- Applications: Planning surgery that affects speech. Speech synthesis. General phonetics.
- Analysis of vowels: Formants vs. VT resonances – solutions to an eigenvalue problem.
- Assets: 1000+ pairs of VT MRI and simultaneous voice recordings.





Introduction (2) _{Vowels}



Challenges

- The exterior space affects formant positions.
- Exterior space is large compared to the vocal tract. Unnecessary computational cost.
- Introducing new VT and exterior space geometries. Automation needed for treating large datasets.



Geometries

Interior space coupled with the exterior (blue) via an interface (red).









Interior space coupled with the exterior (blue) via an interface (red).

The interface is kept fixed so that different interior geometries can be introduced by Nitsche's method.











Resonances

 The resonant frequencies are related to the eigenvalue problem: Find (λ, u) ∈ C × V such that

$$-c^2\Delta u = \lambda^2 u,$$

where V is the solution space.

• Realistic boundary conditions lead to a quadratic eigenvalue problem.



Resonances (2)

- Simplification: u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$ (Dirichlet boundary condition)
- Find $(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^1_0(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$\Delta u = \lambda u.$$

• (Almost) non-physical, but easier to analyse.



http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Chladni_guitar.svg, 10.10.2014

Method

Domain:

$$\begin{split} \Omega &:= \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \mathsf{\Gamma} \\ \Omega_1 &:= \text{the vocal tract (interior domain)} \\ \Omega_2 &:= \text{the exterior domain} \\ \mathsf{\Gamma} &:= \partial \Omega_1 \cap \partial \Omega_2, \text{ the interface} \end{split}$$



.

We can write the discretised problem as

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda_0 \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Method (2)

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda_0 \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Define

$$B(\lambda) := -(A_{22} - \lambda M_{22})^{-1}(A_{21} - \lambda M_{21}).$$

B is a non-linear function of λ satisfying

$$\mathbf{x}_2 = B(\lambda_0)\mathbf{x}_1.$$

Challenge: approximate the effect of $B(\lambda)$ on an interval $[\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]$ (or several intervals)

Method (3)

$$B(\lambda) = -(A_{22} - \lambda M_{22})^{-1}(A_{21} - \lambda M_{21}).$$

- Low number of degrees-of-freedom on Γ, range(A₂₁ λM₂₁) is contained in the subspace spanned by these DoF's for any λ.
- Oversampling in λ on an interval on every node of Γ :

$$\mathbf{y}_{ij} = (A_{22} - \lambda_i M_{22})^{-1} \mathbf{q}_j.$$

- Use PCA to reduce the dimension of the obtained vector space.
- Slight modifications required when using Nitsche's method.

Method (4)

We get the following reduced eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12}\widetilde{U} \\ \widetilde{U}^*A_{21} & \widetilde{U}^*A_{22}\widetilde{U} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \widetilde{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12}\widetilde{U} \\ \widetilde{U}^*M_{21} & \widetilde{U}^*M_{22}\widetilde{U} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{x}_2 corresponds to $\widetilde{U}\alpha$.

 \widetilde{U} does not depend on $\Omega_1.$ Computed only once for a given exterior domain.

Results

Using the mid-sagittal plane of a vocal tract as Ω_1 , we compute

$$\min_i |\lambda - \widetilde{\lambda}_i|,$$

where λ is an eigenvalue of the full problem, and $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ is an eigenvalue of the reduced problem.



Results



Blue: 61 samples. Red: 301 samples.

Results

 $\begin{array}{lll} \Omega_2 \mbox{ DoF's } & 3084 \\ \mbox{Interval } & [2,5] \\ \mbox{Samples } & 301 \\ \mbox{Reduced dim. } & 278 \\ \lambda \mbox{'s on the interval } & 200 \end{array}$



Results Smaller interval

More reduction when using a smaller interval:

Ω_2 DoF's	3084
Interval	[2, 2.5]
Samples	51
Reduced dim.	90
λ 's on the interval	34



Results Convergence



Norm of the error on the interval as a function of the reduced basis dimension.

Improvements & What's next

- Better sampling strategy.
- Choosing a smarter basis on the interface.
- Performing SVD on every node, parallelisation.
- Analysis on convergence.

Thank you

http://speech.math.aalto.fi

Collaborators: Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis, Aalto University School of Science Department of Signal Processing and Acoustics, Aalto University Institute of Behavioural Sciences, University of Helsinki, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Turku, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Diseases, Turku University Hospital, and Medical Imaging Centre of Southwest Finland at Turku University Hospital.